

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO.

10/659,569

09/10/2003

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

John C. Cleary

SS3335 USDIV2

4685

23906

BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805

LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER

09/09/2004

EXAMINER

O MALLEY, KATHRYN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ΛΛΛ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100
Office Action Summary	10/659,569	CLEARY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		th the correspondence add	dress
Period for Reply	DLV IS SET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTH(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 June 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-38</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		İ
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	· · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	1 Office Action or form P1	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			Ctoro
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu	-	received in this National	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received	
	and soraned dopied flot		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		·/

Application/Control Number: 10/659,569

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect US Patent 6,371,749 to Thompson have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,122,223 to Civardi et al. as evidenced by US Patent 3,637,415 also to Chivardi et al.
- 4. The '223 patent teaches a method for curing a chemical agent deposited on a thin sheet of elastomeric polyurethane by passing the coated sheet through a nip to reduce the tension on the fabric and heating the sheet at a sufficient temperature for a sufficient time to cure the agent deposited on the sheet and then winding the fabric on a roll. Note column 8, line 51- column 9, line 39. The '415 patent shows that the sheet of polyurethane used in the '223 patent is a multiple component non-woven fabric (see column 5, lines 25-47) that appears to meet the definition of the term given in page 6 of the present specification, and the treatment temperature used in the method of the '223 patent is in the range of 15-30 degrees Celsius below the melt temperature of the fabric (see column 4, lines 61-63 of the '415 patent). The '223 patent does not teach the

Application/Control Number: 10/659,569

Art Unit: 3749

specific tension values or line speed as presently claimed. However, it does teach applying "as little tension as possible" (column 9, line 1). Furthermore, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art (*In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)), such values would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (703)308-2844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700